Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Photoacoustics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pacs

# H<sub>2</sub>S quartz-enhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy sensor employing a liquid-nitrogen-cooled THz quantum cascade laser operating in pulsed mode

Angelo Sampaolo<sup>a,b</sup>, Chenren Yu<sup>c</sup>, Tingting Wei<sup>a</sup>, Andrea Zifarelli<sup>a,b</sup>, Marilena Giglio<sup>a,b</sup>, Pietro Patimisco<sup>a,b</sup>, Huan Zhu<sup>c</sup>, Haiqing Zhu<sup>c</sup>, Li He<sup>c</sup>, Hongpeng Wu<sup>a</sup>, Lei Dong<sup>a,\*</sup>, Gangyi Xu<sup>c</sup>, Vincenzo Spagnolo<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices, Institute of Laser Spectroscopy & Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, 030006, China

<sup>b</sup> PolySense Lab, Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica, University and Politecnico of Bari, CNR-IFN, Via Amendola 173, Bari, 70126 Italy

c Key Laboratory of Infrared Imaging Materials and Detectors, Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200083, China

#### ABSTRACT ARTICLE INFO Keywords: In this work, we report on a quartz-enhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy (QEPAS) sensor for hydrogen sulfide Quartz enhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy (H<sub>2</sub>S) detection, exploiting a liquid-nitrogen-cooled THz quantum cascade laser (QCL) operating in pulsed mode. Gas sensing The spectrophone was designed to accommodate a THz QCL beam and consisted of a custom quartz tuning fork H2S with a large prong spacing, coupled with acoustic resonator tubes. The targeted rotational transition falls at 2.87 THz THz (95.626 cm<sup>-1</sup>), with a line-strength of 5.53 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-20</sup> cm/mol. A THz QCL peak power of 150 mW was Quantum cascade laser measured at a heat sink temperature of 81 K, pulse width of 1 µs and repetition rate of 15.8 kHz. A OEPAS record sensitivity for H<sub>2</sub>S detection in the THz range of 360 part-per-billion in volume was achieved at a gas pressure of 60 Torr and 10 s integration time.

### 1. Introduction

Many oil fields, especially mature ones, can produce high levels of Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), which is deadly at even low concentrations. H<sub>2</sub>S is generally present in raw natural gas reserves in concentrations ranging from parts per million (ppm) to percentage levels [1]. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (U.S. Department of Labor) lists the acceptable concentration limit for exposure to H<sub>2</sub>S at 20 ppm for an eight-hour period, with the maximum peak exposure at 50 ppm for 10 min [2]. A short-term exposure to even 500-1000 ppm of H<sub>2</sub>S gas can be life threatening and can cause serious harm. Higher concentration levels can cause instant death [3]. Moreover, due to its highly inflammatory property, an explosive atmosphere may occur when H<sub>2</sub>S is combined with air. Also, H<sub>2</sub>S combined with air humidity or moisture may corrode metals (such as in pipes, tanks, vessels, etc.) through the formation of sulfuric acid [4]. Due to these risks, several processes in gas refining facilities have been implemented to remove

H<sub>2</sub>S from gas streams and it is always crucial to identify a leak when occurs, even in the most challenging conditions [5,6]. Beyond the safety of downstream operation and natural gas handling, the studies on the genesis of hydrogen sulfide are also useful to understand the formation process and the pattern of natural gas reservoir accumulation, which would be helpful to discover more gas reservoirs. The geological genesis of hydrogen sulfide in natural gas includes cracking of sulfur bearing organic matter and its thermal evolution, bacterial sulfate reduction and thermochemical sulfate reduction [1,7]. In this context, isotopic ratio represents a valuable fingerprint and an indicator for many processes involving isotopic fractionations. Therefore, instruments devoted to hydrogen sulfide detection at the well site should be: i) set to measure H<sub>2</sub>S and its isotopes in a gas matrix composed of multiple gases, ii) rugged to properly work in harsh environments, iii) fast and responsive to warn people of high concentrations [8,9], but also capable of detection sensitivities of few ppm or less.

Among the typical sensors used so far for H<sub>2</sub>S detection in natural gas

E-mail addresses: donglei@sxu.edu.cn (L. Dong), vincenzoluigi.spagnolo@poliba.it (V. Spagnolo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacs.2020.100219

Received 17 June 2020; Received in revised form 17 September 2020; Accepted 27 October 2020 Available online 9 December 2020 2213-5979/© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licensex/by-nc-ad/4.0/).





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices, Institute of Laser Spectroscopy & Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China.

leaks, electrochemical sensors lack resilience in high and low temperatures, are affected by evaporation of electrolytes in dry hot conditions and by reduced response speed in cold conditions, and suffer from the effect of humidity on their performance [10,11]. Such serious limitations make their use in desert and arctic regions not the ideal solution. Metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) and even more nanotube enhanced MOS detectors have a long life compared to electrochemical sensors and continue to operate over a wide-temperature range, particularly high temperatures, as well as in extremely dry conditions. However, similarly to electrochemical detectors, MOS detectors are not fail-safe and a change in oxygen levels may affect their output. Moreover, MOS sensors experience slow H<sub>2</sub>S response times (up to 120 s) [12,13].

Optical detectors are a field-proven detection solution in many industrial applications because of the high selectivity provided by the use of lasers as gas target excitation source, and high sensitivity achieved through the implementation of several diverse spectroscopic approaches [14]. TDLAS sensors based on multi-pass cells have been successfully employed in petrochemical applications and environmental monitoring for hydrocarbon and H<sub>2</sub>S detection [15-17]. In quartz-enhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy (QEPAS) sensors, quartz tuning forks (QTFs) detect weak sound waves produced by gas molecules excited by modulated light. The laser wavelength is resonant with vibrational or rotational transitions of the target molecule and the QTF can be designed on purpose in terms of size and resonance frequency to match the laser beam dimension and gas target relaxation rate, respectively [18,19]. The quartz tuning fork can properly work over a wide range of pressure and temperatures and can replace pyroelectric and photovoltaic/photoelectric sensors, that are mandatory for multipass cell or cavity enhanced-based techniques [15,20,21].

Standard photoacoustic and QEPAS sensors for in situ and real-time detection of multiple hydrocarbons in near- and mid-IR were already demonstrated, as well as  $H_2S$  sensors employing diode lasers in the near-IR [26] and quantum cascade lasers (QCLs) in the mid-IR [23,24]. Helman et al. were able to detect  $H_2S$  with a sensitivity of 492 ppb at 1 s of integration time in off-beam configuration [25].

Hydrocarbon QEPAS sensors are undergoing a rapid development phase to transform prototypes into reliable sensors ready for deployment and their operation in mid-IR guarantees excellent levels of sensitivity. The best approach to reveal real-time traces of H<sub>2</sub>S in natural gas is to exploit its intense absorption bands in the THz range instead, where hydrocarbons absorption cross-sections are several orders of magnitude smaller. In the THz region, the absorption lines of H<sub>2</sub>S are the strongest of the whole infrared spectrum. These optical transitions are divided into three groups, corresponding to energy levels related to the molecule rotation around the three axes and are perfectly spaced of 0.62 THz, 0.54 THz, and 0.28 THz, respectively [26]. This situation avoids interference effects from hydrocarbons, enables a fast and easy H<sub>2</sub>S detection scheme and creates an ideal spectral environment, where H<sub>2</sub>S isotopes can be discriminated and high precision measurements on isotopic ratios can be performed. Conversely, the most convenient spectral windows in the mid-IR, where in principle the H<sub>2</sub>S isotopes could be easily separated and discriminated with fairly high cross-sections, are characterized by strong and broad bands of methane [27].

The technological limits that have so far slowed down the implementation of optical sensors operating with THz QCLs are mainly due to i) the purely prototypal nature of QCL sources emitting in the THz range, ii) the need of continuous wave emission with the consequent use of helium cooling systems, which entails a high cost and size, iii) the low optical powers available and the poor quality of the THz beams [28,29].

In 2015, H<sub>2</sub>S detection in the THz range was demonstrated by employing a continuous wave QCL. Due to the relatively weak linestrength ( $\sim 10^{-22}$  cm/mol) of the targeted feature and a low QCL optical power (240 µW), a detection limit of 20 ppm was achieved in 10 s lock-in integration time [30].

In the perspective of developing a new generation of sensors capable of providing a systematic and exhaustive analysis of hydrogen sulfide in natural gas-like samples, here we demonstrate a nitrogen-cooled THz QEPAS sensor, employing a pulsed QCL to excite a  $\rm H^{32}SH$  rotational transition at 95.626 cm<sup>-1</sup> having a line-strength of 5.53  $\cdot 10^{-20}$  cm/mol.

### 2. Experimental apparatus

The custom QTF designed for this sensor is also equipped with resonator tubes. The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1.

The THz QCL was provided by the Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics (SITP). The laser chip was mounted on a cold finger accommodated in a liquid-N<sub>2</sub> cryostat. A TPX cylindrical enclosure was tightly sealed to the cryostat to maximize the collection of the THz beam radiating from the grating coupler and facilitate the setup configuration. The employed laser source is a THz QCL with a grating coupler to efficiently extract the THz radiation and an active distributed Bragg reflector for single-mode operation. The QCL GaAs/Al<sub>0.15</sub>Ga<sub>0.85</sub>As active region is based on a bound-to-continuum design, with a thickness of ~12  $\mu$ m and a metal-metal waveguide [31]. The pulses were generated by means of pulse generator (AVTECH AVR-3HF-B), controlled via a custom LabVIEW software.

Pulse frequency and width were set on an external waveform generator driving the pulser (not shown in figure). Both the polarization current and voltage of the laser were measured by means of a probe directly connected to the device and read on the oscilloscope, while the operating temperature was controlled using a Lakeshore Model 335. A Microtech Golay Cell was used to measure the optical power for alignment purpose. QCL emission spectra were recorded using a Fourier-transform interferometer (Bruker 80 V) with a resolution of 0.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>. According to the acquired spectra, at 81 K and injected current of 3.25 A, the THz QCL emission wavelength peaks at 95.626 cm<sup>-1</sup>, resonant with a H<sub>2</sub>S rotational transition having a line-strength of  $5.53 \cdot 10^{-20}$  cm/mol [27] (see Fig. 2). By varying the injected current in the 3 A – 3.9 A range, a linear tuning of the emission wavenumber was observed, with a tuning coefficient of 0.07 cm<sup>-1</sup>/A, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

The divergent light beam with a full width half maximum  $\sim 7^{\circ} \times 33^{\circ}$ was first collected and collimated using a 2-inch diameter, gold-coated  $90^\circ$  off-axis parabolic mirror with focal length  $f_1=50\mbox{ mm}$  and then focused between the QTF prongs by a 2-inch  $90^{\circ}$  off-axis parabolic mirror with focal length  $f_2 = 150$  mm. The QEPAS Acoustic Detection Module (ADM) implements a custom QTF with a resonance frequency is 15.8 kHz, properly designed to operate with THz OCL sources. Indeed, the prongs spacing is 1.5 mm, more than doubled with respect to the custom QTF employed in ref [30] and allowing the implementation of large size resonator tubes (length of 9.5 mm and internal diameter of 2.4 mm) to enhance the sound wave amplification [32]. These represent the largest values of prongs spacing and resonator tube sizes implemented so far for QEPAS sensing and permit to operate with laser beams of large waist and poor spatial characteristics, typical of THz QCL sources. In this experiment, the ADM was equipped with TPX windows with a power transmittivity of the incident light > 90 %. Before entering the ADM, the beam was spatially filtered using a pinhole (not shown in Fig. 1). The piezo-current generated by the QTF was converted into a voltage signal by means of a transimpedance amplifier ( $R_{fb}\!=\!\!10$  MQ) and then fed to a Stanford Research SR830 lock-in amplifier to be demodulated. The lock-in time constant was set to 300 ms for all measurements reported in this work. The collected signal was recorded by a LabVIEW-based acquisition program and visualized on a personal computer.

A certified 100 ppm  $H_2S:N_2$  mixture and a cylinder of pure  $N_2$  were used to obtain different concentrations of  $H_2S$  by means of an MCQ Instruments gas blender, model GB100. The pressure inside the gas delivery line was controlled by the combined action of an MKS pressure controller and a pump.



Fig. 1. Schematic of the QEPAS sensor. QCL, quantum cascade laser; TEC, thermo-electric cooler; Pressure ctrl, pressure controller; PM, parabolic mirror; ADM, acoustic detection module; DAQ, data acquisition board; PC, personal computer.



**Fig. 2.** Emission of the THz QCL at I = 3.25 A and T = 81 K, resonant with the H<sub>2</sub>S line falling at 95.626 cm<sup>-1</sup> (red dashed line). The repetition rate of the pulses was set to 15 kHz while the pulse width was 1  $\mu$ s. In the inset the wavelength tuning over the QCL dynamic range at T =81 K is shown.

### 3. Results

At 60 Torr, a QTF resonance frequency  $f_0$  of 15831.1 Hz with a quality factor Q = 25400 was measured. Thus, the repetition rate of current pulses was set as the resonance frequency of the QTF. When the QCL operates in pulsed mode with repetition rate equal to the QTF resonance frequency, the photoacoustic signal is generated by the intensity modulation. Consequently, the *1f*-QEPAS spectra signal show the line-shape typical of a direct absorption signal [33]. The peak signal was obtained at a laser current of 3.5 A, different from what expected (3.25 A), however the difference in wavelength estimated by the FTIR is  $\sim 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , well below its resolution.

The influence of pressure on the photoacoustic signal represents a crucial point, especially in the THz spectral region, since the typically involved absorption features are related to rotational transitions, characterized by very fast energy relaxation rates [18]. This leads to a higher

radiation-to-sound conversion efficiency that usually requires working pressures in the order of few tens of Torr to maximize the photoacoustic response. This is also beneficial for the quality factor of the resonator and in turn on the generated piezo-current. In order to identify the optimum working pressure, a first set of measurements was performed by operating the QCL at 81 K in pulsed mode with an injected current fixed at 3.5 A, ranging the gas pressure from 30 to 90 Torr. The highest 1*f*-QEPAS signal was recorded at 60 Torr, for a pulse duration of 1  $\mu$ s. The QCL peak power measured outside the cryostat is 150 mW.

Once determined the optimal operating conditions, the linear response and the detection stability of the sensor were investigated. By spanning the laser current from 3 to 4.1 A, corresponding to an overall wavelength tuning of  $\sim 0.07 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , a full scan of the 1*f*-QEPAS signal across the H<sub>2</sub>S absorption line was acquired. Five different concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S in N<sub>2</sub> were analyzed, including the certified concentration of



Fig. 3. 1f-QEPAS Signals for a mixture of 100 ppm and 20 ppm of  $\rm H_2S$  in pure  $\rm N_2.$ 

100 ppm and a gas sample of pure  $N_2$ , with a constant flow of 30 standard cm<sup>3</sup> per minute (sccm) for the whole set of measurements. Fig. 3 shows the signal obtained for 20 ppm and 100 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S:N<sub>2</sub>.

Stepwise concentration acquisitions were performed to evaluate the stability in time of the 1-*f*-QEPAS signal. The injected current was fixed at 3.5 A and the signal related to each analyzed mixture was acquired for about 30 min, as shown in Fig. 4.

By extracting the mean value of the 1*f*-QEPAS signal for each H<sub>2</sub>S concentration, a linear response of the sensor was obtained with a negligible intercept and a slope of 5.14  $\mu$ V/ppm. For the 20 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S:N<sub>2</sub> mixture, the mean value of the signal was calculated to be  $\sim 103 \ \mu$ V while the 1- $\sigma$  standard deviation was  $\sim 13 \ \mu$ V, corresponding to a signal to noise ratio of  $\sim 8$ . This results in a minimum detection limit of  $\sim 2.5$  ppm at 300 ms lock-in integration time. To determine how the sensitivity of the QEPAS sensor improves as the integration time increases, an Allan-Werle deviation analysis was performed, as reported in Fig. 5 [34].

The laser current was firstly locked to 3.5 A, which corresponds to a laser emission resonant with the  $H_2S$  absorption line. The QEPAS signal was then acquired as a function of the time, while a mixture of 100 ppm of  $H_2S:N_2$  was flowing through the cell at a constant rate of 30 sccm.

The plot closely follows a  $1/\sqrt{t}$  dependence over the entire duration of the measurement series without a base line or a sensitivity drift up to ~300 s, indicating that QTF thermal noise is the dominant noise source. At 10 s integration time, a detection limit of ~360 ppb was achieved. The normalized noise equivalent absorption (NNEA) can be calculated considering the actual optical peak power available between the prongs of the QTF, i.e. a peak power of ~ 100 mW, averaged over a duty cycle of ~ 1.6 %. Thus, the NNEA results ~  $3 \cdot 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>W/Hz<sup>1/2</sup>.

## 4. Comparison with previous H<sub>2</sub>S QEPAS sensors and perspectives

In Table 1 the main characteristics, the minimum detection limit and the NNEA of the most performing H<sub>2</sub>S QEPAS sensors operating in the near-IR, mid-IR and THz spectral range are summarized. In the last two columns, the comparison between the first THz sensor operating in continuous wave (CW) [30] and the sensor presented in this work is highlighted.

It is worth noting that the best minimum detection limit (MDL), i.e. 40 ppb at 10 s of integration time, was achieved with the mid-IR QEPAS sensor in off-beam configuration demonstrated by Helman et al. [25]. The near-IR sensor also reached sub-ppm detection limit, exploiting the very high power provided by the erbium doped fiber connected to the



Fig. 4. Stepwise concentration measurements for gas mixtures with increasing concentration of  $H_2S$  from zero (pure  $N_2$ ) up to a certified concentration of 100 ppm in  $N_2$ . The peak signal related to each mixture was acquired for almost 30 min.



Fig. 5. Allan-Werle deviation analysis. At 10 s of integration time, the calculated detection limit is 360 ppb.

laser diode. Indeed, the 1.5 W of output power helps in balancing the weak line-strength of the absorption feature targeted, which is more than one order of magnitude lower with respect to the mid-IR sensor. However, the photothermal noise induced by the high-power tails of the laser beam mode irradiating the spectrophone, affects the NNEA which results  $\sim 10^{-8}\,\text{cm}^{-1}$   $\cdot$  W/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}.$  The best NNEA, 4.4  $\cdot 10^{-10}\,\text{cm}^{-1}$   $\cdot$  W/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}},$ was measured for the THz sensor operated with a CW QCL whose beam was focused between the prongs of a bare custom tuning fork. In this case, the resonator tubes were not implemented to keep the photothermal contribution to the noise negligible [30]. On the other hand, the MDL achieved represents the worst sensitivity value and this is mainly due to the low optical power available in continuous wave. The THz QCL employed in this work is resonant with a H<sup>32</sup>SH rotational transition having an intense line-strength of  $5.53 \cdot 10^{-20}$  cm/mol. This, together with the signal-to-noise ratio enhancement provided by the implementation of a dual tube resonator system, contributed to achieve an MDL almost two orders of magnitude better than the one reached in the CW QEPAS THz sensor [30].

In terms of NNEA, the pulsed THz QEPAS sensor is comparable with the near-IR sensor, reflecting one more time the detrimental effect of the poor spatial quality laser beams on the resonator background noise. Moreover, differently from a sinusoidal wavelength modulation, in which the spectrum of the generated acoustic signal consists in the modulation frequency  $f_{mod}$  and its weak subharmonics, the acoustic spectrum of a train of pulses with fast rise and decay time is composed of numerous odd harmonics of  $f_{mod}$ . These harmonics arise due to the shape and duration of the single pulse and by the cylindrical shape of the laser beam with a finite radius r ( $\sim 0.75$  mm in this experiment). If we suppose to divide the cross section of the beam in a series of concentric thin rings, each ring generates an outgoing cylindrical shell of sound and each shell reaches the QTF prongs at different times. Thus, the duration  $T_S$  of the resulted primary sound pulse at the QTF prong is  $T_S = T_H + r/r_S$  $v_s$ , where  $T_H$  is the duration of the heat pulse (> 1µs in our experiments) and  $v_s$  the sound speed (  $\sim~340~m/s)$  [35]. The strongest harmonic component  $f_{max}$  would be found at ~  $(T_H + r/v_s)^{-1}$ . A rough calculation gives  $f_{max} \sim 300$  kHz, which does not contribute to the overall QEPAS signal. For laser repetition rates in the order of kHz, only a small part of the total sound pressure contributes to the fundamental component, affecting thus the radiation to sound efficiency.

Similar considerations apply to low SNR enhancement recorded for the resonator tubes that, according to ref [32], were supposed to provide a signal enhancement of ~ 15 when the laser is operated in CW. Still, for a periodic pulse train, each pulse excites plenty of resonances in the resonator tube. Some of the decaying signals overlap in-phase, therefore these components will be amplified, meanwhile other components will

### Table 1

 $Comparison among high-performing H_2S \ QEPAS \ sensors, \ from \ near-IR \ to \ THz. \ CW - continuous \ wave, \ NNEA - normalized \ noise \ equivalent \ absorption, \ MDL - minimum \ detection \ limit.$ 

Spectral Range	Near-IR [22]	Mid-IR [25]	THz [30]	THz [this work]
Laser source & Power	Fiber amplified Laser Diode, 1500 mW, CW	External Cavity QCL, 118 mW, CW	Fabry-Perot QCL, 0.24 mW, CW	Fabry-Perot QCL, 150 mW, pulsed
ADM configuration	7.2 kHz Custom QTF, on-beam	32 kHz Standard QTF, off-beam	2.8 kHz Custom QTF, bare	15.8 kHz Custom QTF, on-beam
Wavelenght (µm)	1.5	8.1	103 (2.91 THz)	104.6 (2.87 THz)
Line-strength (cm/	$1.15 \cdot 10^{-23}$	$7.77 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$1.13 \cdot 10^{-22}$	$5.53 \cdot 10^{-20}$
mol)				
NNEA (cm <sup>-1</sup> ·W/ $\sqrt{Hz}$ )	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.05 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$
<b>MDL</b> @ 10 s	150 ppb	40 ppb	20 ppm	360 ppb

be attenuated or even suppressed. This effect mixes up with i) a non-zero background and increased signal fluctuations due to the low intensity tails of the beam hitting the spectrophone structural elements, and ii) a significant electrical noise component introduced into the system by the strong pulses (in the order of 100 V) used to implement the on-off modulation. All these factors determined an overall reduction of the SNR enhancement factor down to  $\sim 3$ .

Nevertheless, the main improvements provided by the present work are: i) sub-ppm detection limit in THz range; ii) power consumptions as low as 0.45 W, thanks to a pulsed operation duty cycle of  $\sim 1.6$  %, and almost one order of magnitude lower than THz CW sensor ( $\sim 4$  W), iii) possibility to stabilize a working temperature of 81 K by using a liquid nitrogen cooling system, instead of more expensive liquid Helium with its bulky cooling system. These new achievements, after about a decade of spectroscopic research spent in envisioning only potential developments, can finally trace a roadmap of affordable technological targets to be implemented, with the final goal of creating a generation of portable THz QEPAS sensors for in-situ applications.

In Fig. 6 a THz QCL system developed by the Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences is displayed [31]. The laser is cooled by a liquid nitrogen dewar ( $210 \times 100 \times 100$  mm) with a working time before refill of ~ 8 h. The power supply ( $20 \times 11 \times 9$  cm) is custom designed and provides current pulses from 0 to 10 A, with pulse widths from 100 ns to 10 µs and external modulation frequency in the range 1–200 kHz. Low power consumption, small volume and lightweight represent solid starting points for developing THz QEPAS sensors capable of detecting H<sub>2</sub>S isotopes in natural gas streams at the well site. In Fig. 7 is shown a Hitran simulation in the THz spectral window 100.5 – 101 cm<sup>-1</sup> of the cross-sections related to the isotopes H<sup>32</sup>SH, H<sup>33</sup>SH and H<sup>34</sup>SH for a total H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of 1000 ppm, simulated in a gas mixture at 10 Torr together with 85 % of methane and 14.9 % of N<sub>2</sub>. The 85 % CH<sub>4</sub> concentration is representative of an average methane-based gas matrix for a natural gas sample.





Fig. 7. Cross-sections of a mixture composed of 1000 ppm  $\rm H_2S, 85\%$  of  $\rm CH_4$  and 14.9% of  $\rm N_2.$ 

on  $H_2S$  isotopes discrimination. This condition cannot be achieved in the mid-IR and near-IR spectral ranges. Thereby, highly selective detection of  $H_2S$  in natural gas with sub-ppm precision could allow a smart and effective in-situ evaluation of the sample composition and origin based on the hydrogen sulfide components [36], a killer application for THz sensing.

### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, a liquid nitrogen-cooled THz QEPAS sensor operated in pulsed mode for  $H_2S$  detection was demonstrated. The possibility to employ a pulsed THz QCL allowed working at 81 K, using a liquid  $N_2$ based cooling system. Moreover, the implementation of a custom tuning



Fig. 6. Portable liquid-N2 dewars and compact pulsed power supply units.

Photoacoustics 21 (2021) 100219

fork equipped with resonator tubes in a THz QEPAS setup, combined with a line-strength of 5.53  $\cdot$  10<sup>-20</sup> cm/mol of the targeted absorption feature, provided a minimum detection limit of 360 ppb at 10 s of lock-in integration time. This result is a record value for H<sub>2</sub>S QEPAS detection and almost two orders of magnitude better with respect to the previous H<sub>2</sub>S THz QEPAS demonstration [30]. The next step will be testing the developed sensor system for the H<sub>2</sub>S detection in a natural gas matrix. Finally, the availability of portable liquid-N<sub>2</sub> dewars [37] and compact pulsed power supply units [38] can open the way to design portable, lightweight, low power consumptions THz QEPAS sensors for in-situ and real-time detection of H<sub>2</sub>S.

### Funding

National Science Foundation (NSF) (1263236, 0968895, 1102301); The 863 Program (2013AA014402). Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie project OPTAPHI, grant No. 860808. THORLABS GmbH within the joint-research laboratory PolySense.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

### References

- [1] J. Aali, H. Rahimpour-Bonab, M. Reza Kamali, J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 50 (3-4) (2006) 161.
- [2] Department of Health and Human Services, NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 2007.
- [3] Toxicological Review of Hydrogen Sulfide, US Environmental Protection Agency, 2003 in Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS).
- [4] C.D. Parker, Sew. Ind. Was. 23 (12) (1951) 1477.
- [5] F. Aliyu, M. Al-shaboti, Y. Garba, T. Sheltami, A. Barnawi, M. Morsy, Procedia Comp. Sci. 63 (2015) 499.
- [6] W.G. Mills, M.J. Malone, K. Graber, ODP Tech. Note 33 (2006).
- [7] Z. Li, J. Li, H. Cui, D. Wang, S. Gong, J. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Ma, Y. Wang, Energy Explor. Exploit. 32 (4) (2014) 691. [8] D. Haydt, Tech. Rep. Galv. App. Sci. (2000).
- [9] S.K. Pandey, K.H. Kim, K.T. Tang, Trends Analyt. Chem. 32 (2012) 87-99. [10] N.S. Lawrence, J. Davis, L. Jiang, T.G.J. Jones, S.N. Davies, R.G. Compton,
- Electroanalysis 12 (18) (2000) 1453.
- [11] D.L. Ehman, Anal. Chem. 48 (6) (1976) 918.
- S. Steinhauer, E. Brunet, T. Maier, G.C. Mutinati, A. Köck, Sens. Acutators B Chem. [12] 186 (2013) 550.
- [13] J. Shu, Z. Qiu, S. Lv, K. Zhang, D. Tang, Anal. Chem. 89 (20) (2017) 11135.
- [14] J. Hodgkinson, R.P. Tatam, Meas. Sci. Technol. 24 (1) (2013), 012004.
- [15] L. Dong, F.K. Tittel, C. Li, N.P. Sanchez, H. Wu, C. Zheng, Y. Yu, A. Sampaolo, R. J. Griffin, Opt. Expr. 24 (6) (2016) A528.
- H. Moser, W. Pölz, J.P. Waclawek, J. Ofner, B. Lendl, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 409 (3) [16] (2017) 729.
- M. Nikodem, K. Krzempek, D. Stachowiak, G. Wysocki, Opt. Eng. 57 (1) (2017), [17] 011019
- P. Patimisco, A. Sampaolo, H. Zheng, L. Dong, F.K. Tittel, V. Spagnolo, Adv. Phys. X [18] 2 (1) (2017) 169.
- [19] M. Giglio, A. Zifarelli, A. Sampaolo, G. Menduni, A. Elefante, R. Blanchard, C. Pfluegl, M.F. Witinski, D. Vakhshoori, H. Wu, V.M.N. Passaro, P. Patimisco, F. K. Tittel, L. Dong, V. Spagnolo, Photoacoustics 17 (2020), 100159.
  [20] D. Chen, W. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, R. Kan, M. Wang, J. Chen, Y. Cui, in: Proceedings
- of IEEE International Conference on Information Acquisition, IEEE, 2006, p. 754.
- [21] L. Song, L. Liu, J. Li, Y. Yang, J. Xi, Q. Guo, Anal. Lett. 50 (2017) 1630.
- [22] H. Wu, A. Sampaolo, L. Dong, P. Patimisco, X. Liu, H. Zheng, X. Yin, W. Ma, L. Zhang, W. Yin, V. Spagnolo, S. Jia, F.K. Tittel, Appl. Phys. Lett. 107 (2015), 111104
- [23] M. Siciliani de Cumis, S. Viciani, S. Borri, P. Patimisco, A. Sampaolo, G. Scamarcio, P. De Natale, F. D'Amato, V. Spagnolo, Opt. Expr. 22 (23) (2014) 28222.
- [24] O.E. Bonilla-Manrique, H. Moser, P. Martín-Mateos, B. Lendl, M. Ruiz-Llata, Sensors 19 (13) (2019) 2890.
- [25] M. Helman, H. Moser, A. Dudkowiak, B. Lendl, Appl. Phys. B 123 (5) (2017) 141.
- [26] H. Cai, D. Wang, J. Shen, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astr. 56 (2013) 685.
- [27] Hitran Database, <www.hitran.org>. [28]
- C. Corsi, F. Sizov, THz and Security Applications: Detectors, Sources and Associated Electronics for THz Applications, Springer, Netherlands, 2014.
- [29] M.A. Belkin, F. Capasso, Phys. Scr. 90 (11) (2015) 118002.
- V. Spagnolo, P. Patimisco, R. Pennetta, A. Sampaolo, G. Scamarcio, M.S. Vitiello, F. [30] K. Tittel, Opt. Expr. 23 (6) (2015) 7574.

- [31] C. Yu, H. Zhu, F. Wang, G. Chang, H. Zhu, J. Chen, P. Chen, Z. Tang, W. Lu, C. Shen, T. Jiang, X. Wang, W. Wu, G. Xu, L. He, Appl. Phys. Lett. 113 (2018) 121114
- [32] S. Dello Russo, M. Giglio, A. Sampaolo, P. Patimisco, G. Menduni, H. Wu, L. Dong, V.M.N. Passaro, V. Spagnolo, Sensors 19 (19) (2019) 4109.
- [33] P. Patimisco, A. Sampaolo, Y. Bideaux, A. Bismuto, M. Scott, J. Jiang, A. Muller, J. Faist, F.K. Tittel, V. Spagnolo, Opt. Expr. 24 (23) (2016) 25943.
- [34] M. Giglio, P. Patimisco, A. Sampaolo, G. Scamarcio, F.K. Tittel, V. Spagnolo, IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control 63 (4) (2016) 555.
- [35] A. Miklos, Int. J. Thermophys. 36 (2015) 2285.
- [36] A. Sampaolo, G. Menduni, P. Patimisco, M. Giglio, V.M.N. Passaro, L. Dong, H. Wu, F.K. Tittel, V. Spagnolo, Fuel 227 (2020), 112112.
- [37] http://www.cryoindustries.com.
- [38] H. Zhu, H. Zhu, F. Wang, G. Chang, C. Yu, Q. Yan, J. Chen, L. Li, A.G. Davies, E. H. Linfield, Z. Tang, P. Chen, W. Lu, G. Xu, L. He, Opt. Expr. 26 (2) (2018) 1942.



Angelo Sampaolo obtained his Master degree in Physics in 2013 and the PhD Degree in Physics in 2017 from University of Bari. He was an associate researcher in the Laser Science Group at Rice University from 2014 to 2016 and associate researcher at Shanxi University since 2018. Since May 2017, he was a Post-Doctoral Research associate at University of Bari and starting from December 2019, he is Assistant Professor at Polytechnic of Bari. His research activity has included the study of the thermal properties of heterostructured devices via Raman spectroscopy. Most recently, his research interest has focused on the development of innovative techniques in trace gas sensing, based on Ouartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy and covering the full spectral range from near-IR to THz. His achieved results have been acknowledged by a cover paper in Applied Physics Letter of the July 2013 issue.



Chenren Yu received her B.S. degree on Microelectronics in 2014 from Shanghai University. China, She is now pursuing a Ph.D. degree at Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Science. Her research interests include terahertz semiconductor lasers and photonic crystal.



Tingting Wei received her undergraduate degree from Taiyuan University of Science and Technology in July 2017, and entered Shanxi University in September 2017 to pursue a master's degree and a doctorate degree. His research interests include Quartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy and Quartz-Enhanced Photothermal Spectroscopy. Recently, her research interest has focused on the development of photothermal detector technology based on quartz tuning forks and covering the spectral range from 1  $\mu m$  to 20 m.



Andrea Zifarelli obtained his M.S. degree (cum laude) in Physics in 2018 from the University of Bari. From the same vear, he is a PhD student at the Physics Department of the University of Bari, developing his research work at PolySense Lab, joint-research laboratory between Technical University of Bari and THORLABS GmbH. Currently, his research activities are focused on the development of gas sensors based on Quartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy for detection of gas mixtures and broadband absorbers, exploiting nonconventional laser sources.



Marilena Giglio received the M.S. degree (cum laude) in Applied Physics in 2014, and the PhD Degree in Physics in 2018 from the University of Bari. In 2012 she's been visiting the Academic Medical Center of Amsterdam as a trainee. In 2015 she was a Research Assistant with the Department of Physics, University of Bari. She was a visiting researcher in the Laser Science Group at Rice University from 2016 to 2017. Since 2018, she is a Post-Doc Research Assistant at the Physics Department of the Technical University of Bari. Her research activity is focused on the development of gas sensors based on Quartz-Enhanced Photoacoustic Spectroscopy and on the optical coupling of hollow-core waveguides with interband- and quantum-cascade lasers.



Pietro Patimisco obtained the Master degree in Physics (cum laude) in 2009 and the PhD Degree in Physics in 2013 from the University of Bari. Since 2018, he is Assistant professor at the Technical University of Bari. He was a visiting scientist in the Laser Science Group at Rice University in 2013 and 2014. Dr. Patimisco's scientific activity addressed both micro-probe optical characterization of semiconductor optoelectronic devices and optoacoustic gas sensors. Recently, his research activities included the study and applications of trace-gas sensors, such as quartzenhanced photoacoustic spectroscopy and cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy in the mid infrared and terahertz spectral region, leading to several publications, including a cover paper in Applied Physics Letter of the July 2013 issue.



ment and Rice Quantum Institute, Rice University, Houston, USA. Currently he is a professor in the Institute of Laser Spectroscopy of Shanxi University. His research interests include gas sensors, photoacoustic spectroscopy, photothermal spectroscope and laser spectroscopy techniques.

Hongpeng Wu received his Ph.D. degree in atomic and mo-

lecular physics from Shanxi University, China, in 2017. From

September, 2015 to October, 2016, he studied as a joint Ph.D.

student in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Depart-



Lei Dong received his Ph.D. degree in optics from Shanxi University, China, in 2007. From June, 2008 to December, 2011, he worked as a post-doctoral fellow in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department and Rice Quantum Institute, Rice University, Houston, USA. Currently he is a professor in the Institute of Laser Spectroscopy of Shanxi University. His research interests include optical sensors, trace gas detection, photoacoustic spectroscopy and laser spectroscopy.



Huan Zhu is now a research assistant at Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. He got his Ph. D. degree on Microelectronics and Solid State Electronics in 2018 from Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and his Bachelor's degree on optical Physics in 2012 from Jilin University, China. His recent research interests include terahertz semiconductor lasers, photodetectors, novel optoelectronic devices.



Gangyi Xu is a researcher and doctoral supervisor at Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. He got his Ph. D. degree on condensed matter physics in 2000 in Lanzhou University, China. His research interests including terahertz semiconductor lasers, photodetectors and micronano photonics.



Haiging Zhu received her B.S. degree on Microelectronics in 2015 from Zhejiang University, China. He is now pursuing a Ph.D. degree at Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Science. His research interests include terahertz master-oscillator power-amplifier laser.



Vincenzo Spagnolo obtained the PhD in physics in 1994 from University of Bari. From 1997-1999, he was researcher of the National Institute of the Physics of Matter. Since 2004, he works at the Technical University of Bari, formerly as assistant and associate professor and now as full Professor of Physics. Starting from 2019, he become Vice-Rector of the technical university of Bari - Deputy to Technology Transfer. He is the director of the joint-research lab PolySense between Technical University of Bari and THORLABS GmbH, fellow member of SPIE and senior member of OSA. His research interests include optoacoustic gas sensing and spectroscopic techniques for realtime monitoring. His research activity is documented by more than 210 publications and 3 filed patents. He has given more than 50 invited presentations at international conferences and workshops.



Li He received his Ph.D degree in 1959 from Hokkaido University in Japan. He used to be the director of the Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (2008-2013). Now he is a researcher and doctoral supervisor at Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences.